S Hittle 6-10-91

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application of:

:

MOHIUDDIN, et al.

MYOCARDIAL IMAGING

Group Art Unit: 336

Serial No.: 07/330,156 Filed: March 29, 1989

Examiner: M. Polutta

For: NOVEL METHOD OF

: Attorney Docket No.:

7253-004

_

RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.115

06/03/91

Honorable Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

In response to the Examiner's Action dated May 21, 1991, applicants request consideration of the following remarks.

REMARKS

 $\label{eq:Reconsideration} \mbox{ Reconsideration of this application is respectfully requested.}$

In the present Office Action, the Examiner has rejected claims 22 to 68 over four references which were brought to the Examiner's attention in an Information Disclosure Statement filed by the applicants on February 9, 1991. Each of the Examiner's grounds for rejecting the present application based on these references will be discussed separately.

First, the Examiner has rejected claims 22-25, 28-31, 34, 36, 37, 58-60 and 63-68 under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Zijlstra et al., "Value and Limitations of Intracoronary Adenosine for the Assessment of Coronary Flow Reserve", Catheterization and Cardiovascular Diagnosis, 15: pp. 76-80 (1988) ("Zijlstra"). The Examiner alleges that Zijlstra

Applicants assume the reference to §102(e) is a typographical error, since the Zijlstra reference is not a United States Patent.